

Remarks/Arguments

Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10-19 were pending. Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10-19 were rejected. No claims were withdrawn. No claims were merely objected to and no claims were allowed. By entry of the foregoing amendment, no claims are canceled, claims 1 and 14 are amended, and no new claims are added. Support for the amendments to claims 1 and 14 may be found at page 6, lines 12-27 of the specification as originally filed. No new matter is presented.

Claim Rejections-35 U.S.C. §103

The Examiner asserts claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-12 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,449,103 to Charles (“Charles”) in view of U.S. Publication No. 2001/0044751 to Pugliese et al. (“Pugliese”), and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,068,301 to Thompson (“Thompson”). Applicant traverses the rejection.

Applicant’s amended independent claim 1, now recites the following:

“1. An integrated system comprising:
a portal having at least one software tool for analyzing, organizing and sorting at least one form of data for access by at least one community of users, each community having secured access to at least one form of data relevant to said community;
a server communicating with said portal;
at least one wireless local area network in communication with said server;
at least one mobile device in wireless communication with said at least one wireless local area network;
a visual data device in communication with said at least one mobile device; and
a means for enabling two-way communications between said portal and said server,
wherein said at least one mobile device comprises a PC tablet.”

Applicant’s amended independent claim 14 now recites the following:

“14. A method for providing remote, interactive visual analysis of an apparatus, comprising the steps of:
providing a portal having at least one software tool for analyzing, organizing and sorting visual data for access by at least one community of users, said portal in communication with at least one electronic device;
providing a server in two-way communication with said portal via the internet;

integrating said server into a wireless local area network;
connecting at least one mobile device to said local area network;
providing said visual data from at least one visual device to said at least one mobile device;
receiving said visual data at said at least one electronic device;
accessing securely via said server said visual data relevant to each of said at least one community of users; and
analyzing a turbine engine of the apparatus using said visual data.”

Applicant’s amended claim 1 now recites a portal having at least one software tool for analyzing, organizing and sorting at least one form of data for access by at least one community of users, each community having secured access to at least one form of data relevant to said community. Applicant contends Charles may disclose certain devices at column 59, lines 10-12, but none of the devices disclosed therein are equivalent to, suggest or provide the requisite motivation to provide software tools for analyzing, organizing and sorting data for access by specific communities of users such that each community has secure access to data relevant to said community. None of the devices taught by Charles such software tools as recited in Applicant’s claim 1.

Applicant’s amended claim 14 now recites the additional steps of “providing a portal having at least one software tool for analyzing, organizing and sorting visual data for access by at least one community of users, said portal in communication with at least one electronic device;” and “accessing securely via said server said visual data relevant to each of said at least one community of users;”. Applicant contends neither Charles nor Pugliese teaches providing such software tools for use by specific communities of users such that each community has secure access to data relevant to said community. There is nothing disclosed in either Pugliese or Charles to suggest or provide the requisite motivation to one of ordinary skill in the art to consider employing such software tools by various communities of users.

Lastly, notwithstanding the teachings of Thompson with respect to visually inspecting turbine engines on-wing using a handheld computer with a camera attached, Thompson fails to teach, suggest or provide the requisite motivation to one of ordinary skill in the art to alter the combined teachings of Charles and Pugliese and teach either a portal having at least one

software tool for analyzing, organizing and sorting at least one form of data for access by at least one community of users, each community having secured access to at least one form of data relevant to said community of Applicants' amended claim 1 or the additional steps of "providing a portal having at least one software tool for analyzing, organizing and sorting visual data for access by at least one community of users, said portal in communication with at least one electronic device;" and "accessing securely via said server said visual data relevant to each of said at least one community of users;" recited in Applicants' amended claim 14.

For at least these reasons, Applicant contends claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-12 and 14-19 are patentable and not obvious in view of the combined teachings of Charles in view of Pugliese and further in view of Thompson.

In light of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner withdraw the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) and find claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-12 and 14-19 are allowable.

The Examiner asserts claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,449,103 to Charles ("Charles") in view of U.S. Publication No. 2001/0044751 to Pugliese et al. ("Pugliese"), further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,068,301 to Thompson ("Thompson"), and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,831,556 to Boykin ("Boykin"). Applicant traverses the rejection.

Applicant reiterates their remarks with respect to the combined teachings of Charles in view of Pugliese and further in view of Thompson as set forth above in the prior rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

Applicant's claim 13 is dependent upon amended independent claim 1.

Applicants contend Boykin fails to cure the deficiencies in the combined teachings of Charles in view of Pugliese further in view of Thompson.

Applicant's amended claim 1 now recites a portal having at least one software tool for analyzing, organizing and sorting at least one form of data for access by at least one community of users, each community having secured access to at least one form of data relevant to said community. Applicant contends Boykin does not teach, suggest or provide the requisite motivation to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide software tools for analyzing, organizing and sorting data for access by specific communities of users such that each community has

secure access to data relevant to said community as recited in Applicants' amended claim 1.

For at least these reasons, Applicant contends claim 13 is patentable and not obvious in view of the combined teachings of Charles in view of Pugliese further in view of Thompson and further in view of Boykin.

In light of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner withdraw the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) and find claim 13 is allowable.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, it is submitted that all of the claims as pending patentably define over the art of record and an early indication of same is respectfully requested.

An earnest and thorough attempt has been made by the undersigned to resolve the outstanding issues in this case and place same in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions or feels that a telephone or personal interview would be helpful in resolving any outstanding issues which remain in this application after consideration of this amendment, the Examiner is courteously invited to telephone the undersigned and the same would be gratefully appreciated.

It is submitted that the claims as amended herein patentably define over the art relied on by the Examiner and early allowance of same is courteously solicited.

If any fees are required in connection with this case, it is respectfully requested that they be charged to Deposit Account No.21-0279.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID C. LODA

By /Ross J. Christie #47492/

Ross J. Christie

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No.: 47,492

Telephone: 203-777-6628 x116

Telefax: 203-865-0297

Date: June 26, 2008